UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,130	11/20/2003	Eero Kaappa	KOLS.064PA	9110	
Hollingsworth &	7590 03/21/200 & Funk, LLC	EXAMINER			
Suite 125			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI		
8009 34th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-				2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,130	KAAPPA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Salad Abdullahi	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2007.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<del>_</del>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
. apo. 1.5(5)an bato						

Application/Control Number: 10/718,130 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

### **Response to Amendment**

1. the Amendment filed on 12/13/2007 has been received and mad of record.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been fully considered but are not most in view of new grounds of rejection.

### Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

For example claim 12-13, recites "computer readable medium", specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oommen et al., U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0103484[hereinafter Oommen] in view of Hamada et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0078105 [hereinafter Hamada].

As per claim 1, Oommen discloses a method for determining the priority of a management object in a device management system comprising at least a device

management server and management customer device, in which the management server and management customer device are arranged to maintain management object data in a management tree, the method comprising:

Page 3

determining the contents of new management object (see paragraph 0036-0037); attaching said at least one data element to the management tree maintained by the management server(see paragraph 0036-0037);

sending a file definition according to the management tree to the management customer device(see paragraph 0037); and

deassembling the file definition referred to in the management customer device into management tree form so that said priority data shows the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects(see paragraphs 0011, and 0037-0038).

Oommen is silent regarding:

determining in the management server at least one data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects, determining priority of at least one sub object, belonging to the management object in relation to other sub objects; and wherein the management customer device updates or forms the management tree in accordance with the data element comprising said priority data, and content of the document is stored in the management customer device in accordance with the management tree.

Hamada disclose a document object management system including determining in the management server (Web server/XML-P'z server A1) at least one data element (object data) comprising the priority data(XML-P'z document shown in FIG. 12) of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects, determining priority of at least one sub object, belonging to the management object in relation to other sub objects(see figs 1 and 18 and paragraph 0202, 0209 and 0266); and wherein the management customer device updates or forms the management tree in accordance with the data element comprising said priority data (0106), and content of the document is stored in the management customer device in accordance with the management tree (see figs 1 and 18 and paragraph 0202, 0209 and 0266). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention presented with teaching of Oommen to utilize the object prioritizing mechanism as taught by Hamada into the system of Oommen thus enabling managed document objects to be processed according to their priority.

As per claim 2, Oommen discloses method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising determining in a server device according to SyncML Device Management and in a customer device according to SyncML Device Management priority data for a management object comprising provisioning settings of a WAP protocol for a Bootstrap process (see paragraph 0059).

As per claim 3, Oommen discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising determining the data element referred to in the management server, the data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects, as separate leaf objects(see paragraph 0045); and

attaching the leaf objects determining said priority data to the management tree maintained by the management server so that they are placed in parallel with the management/sub object, whose priority they determine (see paragraph 0045).

As per claim 4, Oommen discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising determining the data element mentioned in the management server, the data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects, as a run-time property definition(see paragraph 0048); and attaching said run-time property definitions determining said priority data to the meta data of the management tree maintained by the management server (see paragraph 0048).

- 5. As per claims 5-13, the claims include features analogous with features in claims 1-4, thus claims 5-13are rejected same rational as claims 1-4.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/718,130 Page 6

Art Unit: 2157

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Salad Abdullahi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157

3/15/2008